

**PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF SOUTH CAROLINA  
COMMISSION DIRECTIVE**

ADMINISTRATIVE MATTER	<input type="checkbox"/>	DATE	<u>December 07, 2011</u>
			<u>2010-14-C/2010-15-C</u>
			<u>2010-16-C/2010-17-C</u>
MOTOR CARRIER MATTER	<input type="checkbox"/>	DOCKET NO.	<u>2010-18-C/2010-19-C</u>
UTILITIES MATTER	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	ORDER NO.	<u>2011-917</u>

**THIS DIRECTIVE SHALL SERVE AS THE COMMISSION'S ORDER ON THIS ISSUE.**

**SUBJECT:**

DOCKET NO. 2010-14-C - Complaint and Petition for Relief of BellSouth Telecommunications, LLC d/b/a AT&T Southeast d/b/a AT&T South Carolina v. Affordable Phones Services, Incorporated d/b/a High Tech Communications;

DOCKET NO. 2010-15-C - Complaint and Petition for Relief of BellSouth Telecommunications, LLC d/b/a AT&T Southeast d/b/a AT&T South Carolina v. Dialtone & More, Incorporated;

DOCKET NO. 2010-16-C - Complaint and Petition for Relief of BellSouth Telecommunications, LLC d/b/a AT&T Southeast d/b/a AT&T South Carolina v. Tennessee Telephone Service, LLC d/b/a Freedom Communications USA, LLC;

DOCKET NO. 2010-17-C - Complaint and Petition for Relief of BellSouth Telecommunications, LLC d/b/a AT&T Southeast d/b/a AT&T South Carolina v. OneTone Telecom, Incorporated;

DOCKET NO. 2010-18-C - Complaint and Petition for Relief of BellSouth Telecommunications, LLC d/b/a AT&T Southeast d/b/a AT&T South Carolina v. dPi Teleconnect, LLC;

-and-

DOCKET NO. 2010-19-C - Complaint and Petition for Relief of BellSouth Telecommunications, LLC d/b/a AT&T Southeast d/b/a AT&T South Carolina v. Image Access, Incorporated d/b/a New Phone - Discuss with the Commission AT&T's Petition for Rehearing and/or Reconsideration.

**COMMISSION ACTION:**

On November 18, 2011, AT&T South Carolina filed a Petition for Rehearing and/or Reconsideration of the decision described in this Commission's November 9, 2011 Directive. AT&T South Carolina acknowledged that the Petition "may be premature given that the Commission has yet to issue a written order memorializing the decision described" in the Directive, and AT&T South Carolina stated that it filed the Petition "in an abundance of caution and to avoid any argument that AT&T South Carolina has failed to satisfy any condition precedent to an appeal ...." On November 28, 2011, the Resellers responded that they "generally oppose AT&T's Petition, but not the issuance of an order stating that AT&T's Petition is premature." Accordingly, and without objection by any party, I move we dismiss AT&T South Carolina's Petition for Rehearing and/or Reconsideration as untimely. This dismissal is without prejudice to any party's rights to file a petition seeking rehearing, reconsideration, and/or other relief as appropriate upon issuance of the Commission's written order addressing the issues described in the November 9, 2011 Directive.

	MOTION	YES	NO	OTHER
FLEMING	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
HALL	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
HAMILTON	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
HOWARD	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
MITCHELL	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
WHITFIELD	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
WRIGHT	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	

(SEAL)



RECORDED BY: J. Schmieding